

New Information Technologies in Public Participation:
A Challenge to Old Decision-Making Institutional Frameworks

by

Pedro Manuel Barbosa Ferraz de Abreu

Master of Science, Media Arts and Sciences, MIT (1989)

Lic. Computer Engineering, FCT-UNL (1983)

Submitted to the Department of Urban Studies and Planning
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Urban and Regional Planning

at the

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

June 2002

© 2002 Pedro M.B. Ferraz de Abreu
All rights reserved.

The author hereby grants to MIT permission to reproduce and to distribute
publicly paper and electronic copies of this thesis document in whole or in part

Department of Urban Studies and Planning
May 28, 2002

Certified by _____
Joseph Ferreira Jr.
Professor of Urban Planning and Operations Research
Thesis Supervisor

Accepted by _____
Alice H. Amsden
Chair, Ph.D. Program

NEW INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES IN PUBLIC
PARTICIPATION: A CHALLENGE TO OLD DECISION-
MAKING INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORKS

by

PEDRO MANUEL BARBOSA FERRAZ DE ABREU

Submitted to the Department of Urban Studies and Planning
on May 28, 2002, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Ph.D. in Urban and Regional Planning

ABSTRACT

Given the progress in information technology (IT) in the past 30 years, I hypothesized that radically new conditions exist for a qualitative improvement in public participation in decision-making. Two examples of key challenges are: 1) to bring more interaction early-on to the dialogue between citizens and decision-makers, rather than a "tunnel" two-step process (compile opinions-consider them at the very end); 2) to enable common, lay citizens to give meaningful contributions to decisions that require expert knowledge to understand the alternatives available. In order to test my hypothesis, I developed a prototype of an Intelligent Multimedia System to support public and technical consultation and, together with Internet-based collaborative tools, introduced it in the environmental impact assessment review process, for the solid urban waste incinerator of S. João da Talha, Portugal.

Supported by the evidence gathered from this experiment and by my analysis of the qualitative jump these IT developments represent, I argue that it is possible to use this new IT to capture and represent meaningful planning knowledge and with it enable multiple improvements in the public consultation, both qualitatively and quantitatively. On the other hand, observing the institutional responses and constraints during the process, my findings strongly suggest that the current institutional and regulatory context, inherited from old frameworks, is an impediment to fully set in place the improvements enabled by these IT developments. In other words, the decision-making institutional framework has not evolved at a pace fast enough to provide adequate responses to the challenges brought by the new IT. My findings also illustrate how different actors in a decision-making process are constrained by these old frameworks to follow different planning paradigms, further emphasizing the need to adjust to the new technology reality.

In this thesis, I present my hypothesis and research questions; the methodology I followed; the scientific traditions and bodies of literature that support this research; the case study and thesis experiment used to collect direct evidence; the analytical reasoning concerning the IT qualitative jump; the suggested research agenda for this domain; and the conclusions derived from this research, suggesting possible avenues to institutionalize some of the demonstrated IT-based improvements in public participation.

Thesis Supervisor: Joseph Ferreira Jr.

Title: Professor of Urban Planning and Operations Research

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. THESIS INTRODUCTION	
1. Introduction	19
2. HYPOTHESIS and METHOD	
1. Hypothesis	25
2. Research Questions	29
3. Thesis Methodology	31
4. Thesis Roadmap	35
3. ASSUMPTIONS & FOUNDATION	
1. Assumptions	45
2. Public Participation Review	49
3. Information Technology Review	59
4. DESIGNING AN EXPERIMENT	
1. Introduction	83
2. The Problem	85
3. The Scenarios	93
4. The Intelligent Multimedia System Design	103
5. The Experiment Design	123
6. The Quest for a Case Study	135
5. THE EXPERIMENT	
1. Introduction	151
2. The Case	153
3. The Actors	159
4. The Experiment Models	173
5. The Chronology	185
6. The Expert Panel	195
7. The Collaborative Tools	209
8. The FAQ Model	223
9. The Institutional Response	233
10. The Knowledge Acquisition	255
11. The System	275
12. The Public Consultation	297
13. The Knowledge Gap	325
14. Results Summary	335

6. DISCUSSING THE EXPERIMENT	
1. Introduction	349
2. Experiment overview	351
3. The FAQ paradoxes	389
4. Planning paradigms	405
5. The Institutional implications	419
7. THE QUALITATIVE JUMP	
1. Introduction	433
2. The Nature of the problem	435
3. The Decision model implications	439
8. THESIS CONCLUSIONS	
1. Conclusions	449
BIBLIOGRAPHY	457
APPENDIX	
FAQ	469
IMS Acknowledgments	497
CITIDEP	499
IMS Portfolio	503

LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Number</i>	<i>Page</i>
<i>3.3.5.2.-1 - Rule Index card in the Expert System for Infrastructure Shortfalls</i>	<i>73</i>
<i>3.3.5.2.-2 - Rule example in the Expert System for Infrastructure Shortfalls</i>	<i>74</i>
<i>3.3.5.2.-3 - Expert system inference showing intermediate steps</i>	<i>75</i>
<i>3.3.5.3.-1 - Graph representation of the inference net of shortfall consequences</i>	<i>77</i>
<i>3.3.5.4.-1 - Data Model for Case-Based Knowledge Representation</i>	<i>80</i>
<i>3.3.6.-1 - Role levels for information systems in impact assessment</i>	<i>82</i>
<i>4.4.2.-1 - IMS Trail Template</i>	<i>106</i>
<i>4.4.2.-2 - IMS Trail Book page (theme: Pedro at MIT)</i>	<i>108</i>
<i>4.4.2.-3 - IMS Trail Book editing menu</i>	<i>109</i>
<i>4.4.4.-1 - Example of test of the intelligent automatic layout system</i>	<i>117</i>
<i>4.4.5.-1 - Data Model for Knowledge Based Virtual Office</i>	<i>119</i>
<i>4.6.3.-1. Partial view of the area planned for World Expo 98, in Lisbon (photo 1992)</i>	<i>140</i>
<i>4.6.4.-1 Loures plan for estuary of Trancão (EXPO 98 area)</i>	<i>145</i>
<i>5.2.2.-1 - Municipalities in Valorsul</i>	<i>157</i>
<i>5.7.2.-1 - Personal Computers in European Union, 1997 (source: MCT 1999)</i>	<i>214</i>
<i>5.7.2.-2. Web hosts in Portugal vs. EU and OCDE averages (source: MCT 1999)</i>	<i>215</i>
<i>5.7.2.-3. Yearly increase on web domains in Portugal (.pt domain)</i>	<i>215</i>
<i>5.7.3.-1 - IMS Vocabulary Management Tool - Inserting new group</i>	<i>218</i>
<i>5.7.3.-2 - IMS Vocabulary Management Tool - Merge and Consistency Check Routines</i>	<i>219</i>
<i>5.7.3.-3 - IMS Vocabulary Management Tool - Help card</i>	<i>222</i>
<i>5.7.3.-4 - IMS Vocabulary Management Tool - Classification card, with children nodes</i>	<i>223</i>
<i>5.7.3.-5 - IMS Vocabulary Management Tool - Classification card, with glossary</i>	<i>225</i>
<i>5.11.2.-1 - Intelligent Multimedia System Prototype entry screen</i>	<i>280</i>
<i>5.11.2.-2 - Metaphoric Interface: Public and Technical Consultation "Virtual Village"</i>	<i>282</i>
<i>5.11.2.-3 - Module "Reception Booth"</i>	<i>285</i>
<i>5.11.2.-4 - Module "Community Center for Public Consultation"</i>	<i>285</i>
<i>5.11.2.-5 - Module "Expert's Virtual Office Area"</i>	<i>286</i>

5.11.2.-6 - Module "Expert's Virtual Office Area"	286
5.11.2.-7 - Module "Expert System Center" in the Prototype	287
5.11.2.-8 - Module "Post Office" in the Prototype: Read and /or send comments	287
5.11.2.-9 - Module "Data Archives" - photos	288
5.11.2.-10 - Module "Data Archives" - videos	288
5.11.3.-1 - IMS prototype module implementing data class "Events"	290
5.11.3.-2 - IMS prototype module implementing data class "People"	290
5.11.3.-3 - IMS prototype module implementing data class "Places"	291
5.11.3.-4 - IMS prototype module implementing data class "Entities"	291
5.11.4.-1 - IMS module "Computer Center", for knowledge maintenance	293
5.11.4.-2 - IMS prototype module implementing data class "Answers"	294
5.11.4.-3 - Each answer may include multiple multimedia files in support	294
5.11.4.-4 - IMS prototype module implementing knowledge class "Issues"	295
5.11.5.-1 - Entry page at the FAQ Web site with trails	297
5.11.5.-2 - FAQ question list with technical levels assigned	297
5.11.5.-3 - FAQ answer to selected question	298
5.11.5.-4 - FAQ answer with suggested trails, also with technical levels assigned	298
5.12.3.-1 - "Virtual Office" added feature: select author to check answers by each	304
5.12.3.-2 - Trace Analysis Tool, using trace data	308
5.12.3.-3 - Trace data for user C (all targets, visited modules, Virtual Office, questions)	309
5.12.3.-4 - Trace data for users C, F and A (all targets, visited modules)	310
5.12.3.-5 - IMS Trail page on incineration	311
5.12.3.-6 - IMS Trail booklet pages on garbage ("lixo")	312
5.12.3.-7 - IMS Trails - IMS Expert Panel session	312
5.12.4-1 - IMS Trails - Public hearing S. João da Talha with sound recordings	315
5.12.5.-1 - Results from the opinion survey at the public hearing at S. João da Talha	318
5.12.5.-2 - Results from the opinion survey at the public hearing at LNEC	318
5.12.5.-3 - Results from the opinion survey with students of Environmental Eng.	319
5.12.5.-4 - Results from the opinion survey with students of Psychology	319
5.12.6.-1 - Survey in S. João da Talha area (NIMBY)	322

<i>5.12.6.-2 - Results from all IMS opinion surveys, by residence</i>	323
<i>5.13.2.-1 Knowledge test questions</i>	327
<i>5.13.5.-1 - Results from the opinion survey at the controlled experiment (before and after)</i>	329
<i>6.2.8.-1 - Evolution of FAQ question compilation</i>	365
<i>6.2.8.-2 - Percentage of FAQ answers inserted in IMS, by actor</i>	367
<i>6.2.15.-1 - FAQ web site accumulated number of visitors</i>	382
<i>6.2.15.-2 - FAQ web site daily rate averages of visitors</i>	383
<i>6.3.2.-1 - Graphs comparing sources of compiled questions and answers</i>	392
<i>6.3.3.-1 - Graphs comparing sources of compiled questions and answers by FAQ class</i>	402
<i>6.3.3.-2 - Graphs comparing sources of compiled questions and answers by FAQ class</i>	403
<i>7.3.2.-1 - Internet access world wide, 1999. source: IDH</i>	445

LIST OF TABLES

<i>Number</i>	<i>Page</i>
<i>3.2.4.-1 - Current Techniques of Public Participation</i>	58
<i>3.3.3.-1 - Chronology of IT landmarks</i>	65
<i>3.3.5.1.-1 - Knowledge Representation Models</i>	71
<i>4.2.6.1 - Summary of problems in EIA and role of IT</i>	93
<i>4.4.3.2.-1 - Example of data structure for composite media object</i>	112
<i>4.4.6.1.-1 - Extract of IMS formal definitions - initial form</i>	122
<i>4.4.6.1.-2 - Extract of IMS formal definitions - examples of metaclasses (i)</i>	122
<i>4.4.6.1.-3 - Extract of IMS formal definitions - examples of metaclasses (ii)</i>	122
<i>4.6.2.-1 - Number of processes by year</i>	138
<i>4.6.2.-2 - Duration of processes (in days)</i>	138
<i>4.6.2.-3 - Number of consultation sites per process</i>	138
<i>4.6.2.-4 - Number of written summaries produced, per process</i>	138
<i>4.6.2.-5 - Number of written summaries produced, per process</i>	138
<i>4.6.2.-6 - Number of ads in newspapers, per process</i>	138
<i>4.6.2.-7 - Number of invited entities (G or NG), per process</i>	138
<i>4.6.2.-8 - Number of people participating (G or NG), per process</i>	138
<i>4.6.4.-1 - Trancão case summary "business card"</i>	147
<i>5.3.15.-1 - Actors' characterization summary</i>	175
<i>5.5.4.-1 - Thesis Experiment Main Steps and Milestones</i>	191
<i>5.5.5.-1 - IMS / Case studies timeline</i>	194
<i>5.5.5.-2 - CTRSU Case Study Timeline for 1995</i>	195
<i>5.5.5.-3 - CTRSU Case Study Timeline for 1996</i>	196
<i>5.5.5.-4 - CTRSU Case Study Timeline for 1997</i>	197
<i>5.6.3.-1 - Sample of IMS Vocabulary</i>	202
<i>5.6.4.-1 - Knowledge Classes or Canonical Representation</i>	204
<i>5.6.4.-2 - Sample of the vocabulary classification table</i>	205
<i>5.6.5.-1 - Domain Taxonomy for Domain "Environment"</i>	208

<i>5.6.5.-2 - Partial Domain Taxonomy for Sub-Domain “Air”</i>	208
<i>5.6.6.-1 - Initial version of “Issue” Taxonomy, root level</i>	210
<i>5.6.7.-1 - Guidelines for the use of taxonomies to structure IMS knowledge</i>	212
<i>5.8.3.-1.- Issue Taxonomy for the FAQ</i>	230
<i>5.8.4.-1 - Knowledge unit "question-answer" template form</i>	233
<i>5.8.4.-2 - Example of a knowledge unit "question-answer" with template form filled in</i>	234
<i>5.9.6.-1 - System Content and Use Guidelines</i>	251
<i>5.10.2.-1 - Methodology to follow (For each question/answer)</i>	261
<i>5.10.3.-1 - FAQ questions sample</i>	262
<i>5.10.8.-1 - Issue Taxonomy top-level classes</i>	276
<i>5.10.8.-2 - Source of FAQ questions compiled, by Issue class</i>	277
<i>5.10.8.-3 - Source of FAQ answers collected, by Issue class</i>	277
<i>5.10.8.-4 - Source of FAQ answers inserted in IMS prototype, by Issue class</i>	277
<i>5.11.4.-1 - IMS Prototype Knowledge Base</i>	292
<i>5.12.3 -1 - Sample data collected by the “trace” function on user steps in the IMS</i>	308
<i>5.12.5.-1 - Opinion Survey made during the public consultation period</i>	317
<i>5.12.5.-2 - Opinion Surveys background data (public hearings and students)</i>	320
<i>5.13.4.-1 - Knowledge Test grade results</i>	330
<i>5.14.7.-1 - Summary of expected IT roles and corresponding performance findings</i>	345
<i>6.2.17.1 - Total number of projects with public participation and number of opinions</i>	385
<i>6.5.6.-1 - Visible and the invisible IT, from the perspective of the general public</i>	426
<i>6.5.6.-2 - Visible and the invisible IT, minimum requirements for project replication</i>	427
<i>7.3.1.-1 - Period before broadcasting</i>	439
<i>7.3.1.-2 - Period between broadcasting and microcomputer + world wide network</i>	439
<i>7.3.1.-3 - Period after microcomputer + world wide communications network</i>	439
<i>7.3.2.-1 - Evolution of Information Technology and its impact on decision models</i>	444

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his gratefulness to family, friends and colleagues, for their support and encouragement; to the many persons, either individually or representing their institutions, that made contributions to this research and as such recognized in this Thesis; to Fullbright, FLAD, INETI, JNICT, FCT-MCT, DCEA-FCT-UNL, MARN, CITIDEP and MIT, for the grants and awards that funded this research; and to Professors Joseph Ferreira Jr, Ralph Gakenheimer, Bish Sanyal, Karen Polenske, Melvin King, Marvin Minsky, Paul Brown (MIT), Michael Shiffers (U. Illinois), Lyna Wiggins (Rutgers U.), Gary Marx (U. Colorado), Lucia Vaina, Helen Patricia Hynes (Boston U.), Fernando Santana, António Arnaud (FCT-UNL), Omar Razzaz (WB), Bernard Marchand (U. Paris), Pimenta Rodrigues (ISEL), João Toste Rego, Fernando Carvalho Rodrigues, José Rebordão (INETI) and Rómulo de Carvalho (LNPN), for their guidance and mentorship.

I dedicate this thesis to my Father and my Mother.

GLOSSARY

AI. Artificial Intelligence

CD-ROM Compact Disc - Read Only Memory

CITIDEP. Centro de Investigação de Tecnologias de Informação para uma Democracia Participativa (Research Center on Information Technology and Participatory Democracy)

CTRSU. Central de Tratamento de Resíduos Sólidos Urbanos (Solid Urban Waste Processing Unit)

DB. Data Base

DGA. Direcção Geral do Ambiente (Environmental Ministry General Agency)

DRARN-LVT. (or DRA-LVT) Direcção Regional do Ambiente e Recursos Naturais - Lisboa e Vale do Tejo (Environmental Ministry Agency for the Region of Lisbon and Tagus Valley)

DCEA-FCT-UNL. Departamento de Ciências e Engenharia do Ambiente, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa (Dept. of Environmental Sciences and Engineering of the New University of Lisbon).

EIA. Environmental Impact Assessment

ENGO. Environmental Non-Government Organization

EPA. Environmental Protection Agency, USA

FCT-UNL. Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal

GEOTA. Grupo de Estudos de Ordenamento do Território e Ambiente (Environmental and Land Use Planning Study Group, an ENGO)

KB. Knowledge Base

IPAMB. Instituto de Promoção Ambiental (Institute for Fostering Environment)

IT. Information Technology

IMS. Intelligent Multimedia System

LPN. Liga para a Protecção da Natureza (Environmental Protection League, an ENGO)

MARN. Ministério do Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais (Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources)

MDB. Multimedia Data Base

MIT. Massachusetts Institute of Technology

NGO. Non-Governmental Organization

PP. Public Participation

Quercus. Associação Nacional de Conservação da Natureza (National Association for Preserving Nature, an ENGO)

SUW. Solid Urban Waste

WB. World Bank